Registered No. M.—1175. 671

The Mysore Gazette.

Vol. 60.] PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY. [No. 40.

BANGALORE, THURSDAY, OCTOBER 8, 1925.

PART IV.

NOTIFICATIONS BY THE HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

IN THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

Dated 23rd September 1925.

With a view to expedite business in Civil Courts and to relieve some of the heavily worked Civil Judges of a certain amount of scriptory work, it is considered advantageous to empower them to dictate judgments, in the cases disposed of by them, to shorthand writers. The following draft amendments to orders XX and XLI of the Code of Civil Procedure 1911, which it is proposed to make accordingly, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 122, Civil Procedure Code, are published, as required by the said section, for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby, and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken up for consideration by the Chief Court on or after the 22nd October 1925.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the draft before the date aforesaid will be considered by the Chief Court.

DRAFT AMENDMENT.

Order XX—

"Re-number the present rule 1, as sub-rule 1 of rule 1 and add the following as sub-rule 2:—

The judgment may be pronounced by a dictation to a shorthand writer in open court, where the presiding Judge has been specially empowered on that behalf by the Chief Court."

Substitute the following for rule 3:—

"The judgment shall bear the date on which it is pronounced and shall be signed by the Judge and, when once signed, shall not afterwards be altered or added to, save as provided by Section 152 or on review, provided also that, where the presiding Judge is specially empowered by the Chief Court to pronounce his judgment by dictation to a shorthand writer in open court, the transcript of the judgment so pronounced shall, after such revision as may be deemed necessary, be signed by the Judge."

Order XLI.—

"Substitute the following for rule 31:—

31. The judgment of the appellate court shall be in writing and shall state—

(*a*) the points for determination;
(*b*) the decision thereon;
(*c*) the reasons for the decision; and
(*d*) where the decree appealed from is reversed or varied, the relief to which the appellant is entitled;

and shall bear the date on which it is pronounced and shall be signed by the Judge or the Judges concurring therein; provided that, where the presiding Judge is specially empowered by the Chief Court to pronounce his judgment by dictation to a shorthand writer in open Court, the transcript of the judgment so pronounced shall after such revision as may be deemed necessary, be signed by the Judge."

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI,
Registrar.

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF AT KOLAR GOLD FIELDS.

Insolvency Application No. 10 *of* 1925-26.

Sabju Saheb, Gumasta, Oorgaum Village ... ... *Petitioner.*

(1) Lakshmana Mudaly, (2) K. N. Rahiman Sabi, (3) Adam Sahib, (4) Abdul Wahid ... ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 6th August 1925, against opponents and on the application of the petitioner, and on reading the petition and hearing both parties it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 23rd day of September 1925.

Insolvency Application No. 58 *of* 1919-20.

Manikyam, Driver, Oorgaum Camp ... ... *Petitioner.*

(1) Heeralal, Robertsonpet, (2) Alamelamma, (3) Veeraraghoo, Bowringpet ... ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 16th October 1919, against opponents and on the application of the 1st opponent and on reading the said application and hearing the petitioner it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 15th day of September 1925.

Insolvency Application No. 78 *of* 1923-24.

J. H. Scott, Cyanide Foreman, Mysore Mines, Marikuppam ... *Petitioner.*

(1) B. S. Gangaram, Agent Amalakchand, (2) L. Kundanmull Bairambhaksh, Agent Chogalal, (3) C. Surajmull Dhoolraj, Agent C. Surajmull, (4) Multhanmull Misrilal, Agent Kanniram, (5) Thalakshmi Purooshottam, Kolar Gold Fields ... ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 18th June 1924, against opponents and on the application of the 4th opponent and on reading the said application and hearing the 4th opponent it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 15th day of September 1925.

Insolvency Application No. 115 *of* 1920-21.

S. Ayyakannu, Fitter, Engineer Department, Mysore Mines ... *Petitioner.*

Oakchend by Agent R. Murti Rao ... ... *Opponent.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 15th July 1925, against the petitioner and on the application of the opponent and on reading the petition and hearing both parties it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 22nd day of September 1925.

C. SUBRAHMANYA AIYAR,
Munsif.